Planktic foraminifera in the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary clays of the Geulhemmerberg (Netherlands)

J. Smit¹ & W.J. Zachariasse²

¹ Institute of Earth Sciences, Vrije Universiteit, De Boelelaan 1085, 1081 HV Amsterdam, the Netherlands;
² Institute of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, Budapestlaan 4, 3508 TA Utrecht, the Netherlands

Received 29 September 1995; accepted in revised form 9 May 1996

Key words: K/T boundary clay, P0 Zone, survivorship

Abstract

In the Geulhemmerberg section across the Cretaceous/Tertiary (K/T) boundary, planktic foraminiferal assemblages were found only in the basal Paleocene clay layers. These layers are interpreted to correspond to the planktic foraminiferal P0 Zone because Paleocene planktic foraminifers do not occur yet, whilst Paleocene nannofossil and dinoflagellate assemblages are already present. This is consistent with the K/T boundary clays (P0 Zone) of El Kef in Tunisia and of Agost and Caravaca in Spain, in which Paleocene species are also absent. The planktic assemblages of the clay layers have a low diversity, comparable to P0 planktic assemblages from the Nye Kløv section in Denmark. *Heterohelix globulosa* (Ehrenberg) dominates the assemblages.

Excellent preservation of the planktic foraminifers, and anomalously high planktic foraminifer percentages (P/(P+B) = 53.3%) provide compelling arguments for survivorship of at least five generalist Cretaceous taxa into the basal Paleocene P0 Zone, as shown earlier at Caravaca, El Kef and Nye Kløv. However, due to the lack of planktic faunas in under- and overlying sediments, it is impossible to infer whether the planktic foraminiferal faunas in the P0 Zone clay-layers have changed, or are impoverished compared to Upper Maastrichtian faunas.

Introduction

Recently, the fate of the planktic foraminifers across the Cretaceous/Tertiary (K/T) boundary was hotly debated (Kerr, 1994). The main issues concern the proposed extinction patterns across this boundary. Smit (1977, 1982, 1990) defends the viewpoint that the planktic foraminifers, except for a few generalist surviving species, suddenly - catastrophically - disappear at the boundary without significant extinctions directly preceding the boundary. Keller (1988) defends a pattern of stepwise extinctions, including extinctions before, at, and after the boundary. Keller (1988, 1996) postulated an important step (14% species extinct) just below the boundary where large, tropical, ornamented forms disappear. The next extinction step would be at the boundary where the remaining large forms disappear. The largest extinction step would occur above the boundary where most non-specialized cosmopolitan forms disappear, suggesting that a large planktic population (26%) survived into the basal Paleocene P0 and *Globigerina eugubina* zones. The P0 Zone was first defined by Smit (1977), and defined as a zone between the mass extinction of most Cretaceous planktic foraminifers and the first appearance of the Paleocene planktic taxon *G. eugubina* Luterbacher & Premoli Silva.

Extinctions preceding the K/T boundary are clearly incompatible with impact-induced catastrophic extinctions, without special pleading. A 'blind test' excercise, under supervision of R. Ginsburg, has been designed to address these questions. Four micropaleontologists were invited to analyse the coded foraminiferal assemblages from three samples (75, 15 and 6 cm) below and three samples (7, 15, 85 cm) above the K/T boundary in the El Kef section. They failed to demonstrate significant pre-K/T boundary extinctions (Ginsburg et al., in preparation). Another issue is the question of survivorship of planktic species above the boundary. Significant survivorship of planktic taxa



Figure 1. Stratigraphic distribution of the four most common planktic foraminiferal species in the Geulhemmerberg section. For location and legend see figures 1 and 4 of Brinkhuis and Smit (this issue). Asterisks * indicate samples investigated.

may not falsify an impact-induced extinction model, but may raise the question whether the extinctions were indeed catastrophic. The samples from the Geulhemmerberg section in the Maastrichtian type area were analysed on foraminiferal content, with the above problems in mind.

Samples and methods

Washed residues (> 63 μ m mesh) were prepared from samples of the K/T reference section at Geulhemmerberg (Figure 1, see also Brinkhuis and Smit, this issue). Only the clay layers A, C, D (1, 2), E, F and G (1, 2) contain a well-preserved planktic fauna. In particular the D and E clay layers contain air-filled specimens. A few samples of the Upper Maastrichtian bioclastic grainstones of units IVf-6 and IVf-7 of the Meerssen Member were disaggregated, but yielded only a few, badly preserved specimens of planktic foraminifers (Heterohelix aff. globulosa), among abundant small benthic foraminifers. A large sample from the E clay (G5) was thoroughly cleaned from adhering coarse bioclasts, and the few conspicuous coarse burrow-fills were removed. Just 0.24 g of the > 63 μ m residue remained of the 615 g dry bulk sample. Over 200 planktic specimens were randomly selected, identified and counted.

SEM-graphs were prepared of all the species encountered in the E clay (Figure 2).

From sample G5, Vonhof and Smit (this issue) obtained a basal Danian seawater ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio from well-preserved *H. globulosa*.

Results

The planktic foraminiferal assemblages show two types of preservation. The majority of the specimens is well-preserved, not corroded and air-filled. A small fraction is corroded and stained yellow. A list of species, mainly from the E clay (G5), is provided in Table 1. The quantitative results are presented in Table 2 and well-preserved species found in the E clay are shown in Figure 2.

Four species, *Heterohelix globulosa* (Ehrenberg), *Guembelitria cretacea* Cushman, *Hedbergella monmouthensis* (Olsson) and *Globigerinelloides messinae* (Brönnimann), occur consistently in all the clay layers (Figure 1), although *G. messinae* is extremely rare in the F and G clay layers. These species are all known from the Upper Maastrichtian *Abathomphalus mayaroensis* Zone, and the assemblage is comparable to assemblages in the K/T boundary section of Nye Kløv in Denmark (Keller et al., 1993).



Figure 2. Scanning electron micrographs of well-preserved specimens of planktic foraminifers of the Geulhemmerberg section. All specimens are from sample G5B (E clay). A) *Hedbergella monmouthensis.* B, C) *Rugoglobigerina rugosa.* D) *Globigerinelloides messinae.* E) *Globigerinelloides asperus.* F) *Pseudoguembelina costata.* G) *Heterohelix globulosa.* H) *Guembelitria cretacea.* I–K) *Rugotruncana* aff. *circumnodifer.* Scale bars: B, C, G, I–K = 100 μ m; A, D–F, H = 10 μ m.

Table 1. List of species of planktic foraminifers from the A to G clay layers of the Geulhemmerberg K/T section.

Species	Abundance
Heterohelix globulosa (Ehrenberg)	abundant
Hedbergella monmouthensis (Olsson)	few
Globigerinelloides messinae (Brönnimann)	few
Globigerinelloides asperus (Ehrenberg)	few
Rugoglobigerina aff.rugosa (Plummer)	1 ex.
Rugotrucana aff. circumnodifer (Finlay)	extr. rare
Pseudoguembelina costulata (Cushman)	1 ex.
Guembelitria cretacea Cushman	common
Globutruncana aff. arca (Cushman)	1 ex.

Table 2. Quantitative analysis of a random split of the washed residue of clay E.

	Counts	% of all groups	% of total planktics
Heterohelix globulosa	200	44.15	95.24
Globigerinelloides messinae	3	0.66	1.43
Globigerinelloides asperus	3	0.66	1.43
Hedbergella monmouthensis	2	0.44	0.95
Guembelitria cretacea	2	0.44	0.95
Hyaline benthics	142	31.35	
Agglutinated benthics	42	9.27	
Ostracods	36	7.95	
Sponge spicules	23	5.08	
Total counted	453		
Total planktics	210		
% planktics = P/(P+B)	53.30%		

Lowermost Paleocene taxa, such as *Eoglobigerina minutula* (Luterbacher & Premoli Silva) (= *Globoconusa conusa* (Khalilov) sensu Keller 1988), *Eoglobigerina fringa* (Morozova) or *Globigerina eugubina* have not been found. Interestingly, the planktic percentage in the E clay sample is high: P/(P+B) = 53.3% (Table 2). Usually, but not here, as discussed further on, one would interpret this rather high percentage as indication for a waterdepth greater than 100 m.

Among the keeled morphotypes, we have only identified extremely rare, juvenile double-keeled forms tentatively ascribed to *Rugotruncana circumnodifer* (Finlay), a form known thus far only from the Upper Maastrichtian of the southern oceans (Huber, 1991), and one specimen of *Globotruncana arca* (Cushman).

Discussion

Foraminifera from the E clay are either extremely well-preserved or corroded. The well-preserved fauna presumably occurs *in situ*, because it would be difficult, if not impossible, to selectively rework planktic foraminifers from the underlying Upper Maastrichtian bioclastic grainstones, which are rich in smaller benthic foraminifers. On the other hand, air-filled specimens of Cretaceous planktic foraminifers are known to occur in Pleistocene loess-deposits in England (M. Hart, personal communication).

An iridium anomaly has not yet been found in the Geulhemmerberg section. For this reason, one of the best tools to position the K/T boundary fails here (Smith and Rocchia, this issue). Paleocene taxa do not occur in the clay layers, although a basal Paleocene age is suggested by the benthics (Kuhnt; Witte and Schuurman, this issue), calcareous and organic-walled dinocysts (Brinkhuis and Schiøler; Willems, this issue) and nannofossils (Romein et al., this issue), and the overall lithological succession (Roep and Smit, this issue). This indirectly assigns the Geulhemmerberg clay layers to the planktic foraminiferal PO Zone, correlative to the clay layers of P0 Zone age elsewhere, e.g. Stevns Klint in Denmark, El Kef in Tunisia and Caravaca in Spain. Therefore, these layers postdate the Ir-rich fallout layer, and the K/T boundary.

The good preservation and the high P/(P+B) ratio at Geulhemmerberg provide an argument for survivorship of several Upper Maastrichtian planktic taxa, at least into the basal Paleocene P0 Zone. Smit (1977) suggested survivorship of a few Cretaceous taxa into the P0 Zone clay of the Caravaca section because of the high relative abundance of Guembelitria, Globigerinelloides, Hedbergella and possibly some heterohelicids in this clay compared to their abundance in the same size fraction in the Upper Maastrichtian. Later, Keller (1988) and Keller and Lindinger (1989) suggested survivorship for the same species, and a few additional biserial species in the El Kef and Brazos River (Texas) sections. A low (Danian) δ^{13} C value of H. globulosa in P0 Zone sediments of these sections supported that suggestion.

Vonhof and Smit (this issue) found a 87 Sr/ 86 Sr ratio of 0.707923 in well-preserved *H. globulosa* tests, compatible with a lower Danian seawater ratio, supporting survivorship of *H. globulosa*. Although a direct comparison with Upper Cretaceous assemblages cannot be made in the Geulhemmerberg section, the low specimen yield of even a large (615 g) sample of the E

clay suggests that the planktic populations at P0 Zone time were probably reduced compared to Upper Maastrichtian populations, as at El Kef and Caravaca. Therefore, although some species clearly survive, we see no evidence here for 'thriving surviving Cretaceous planktic populations' as suggested by Keller et al. (1993).

The high P/(P+B) ratio (53.3%) in the E clay is peculiar. We reject a sudden deepening of the basin, because of the sedimentary evidence for a shallow waterdepth (20–40 m) above, between, and below the clay layers (Roep and Smit, this issue). Rather, the high P/(P+B) ratio seems a direct result of the K/T event itself. Apparently, both benthic and planktic foraminifers have suffered from the K/T extinction event, but in this area the benthics seem to have suffered even more than the planktic foraminifers.

Conclusions

- 1. Planktic foraminifers provide no reliable means to define the K/T boundary in the Geulhemmerberg section.
- 2. Planktic taxa characteristic for the Paleocene do not occur in the clay layers, although a Paleocene age is suggested by the benthics, calcareous and organicwalled dinocysts and nannofossils, and the overall lithological succession. This indirectly assigns the Geulhemmerberg clay layers to the planktic foraminiferal P0 Zone.
- 3. At least five taxa have probably survived the K/T impact event into the P0 Zone, but it could not be established whether these represent a mere remnant of the Upper Maastrichtian populations in the area.
- 4. The high P/(P+B) ratio in the E clay suggests a relatively high mortality among the benthic foraminifers as a consequence of the K/T event.

This is contribution 941203 of the Netherlands Research School of Sedimentary Geology (NSG).

References

Brinkhuis, H. & P. Schiøler (this issue) Palynology of the Geulhemmerberg Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary section (Limburg, SE Netherlands)

- Brinkhuis, H. & J. Smit (this issue) The Geulhemmerberg Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary section (Maastrichtian type area, SE Netherlands); an introduction
- Hubert, B.T. 1991 Upper Campanian Maestrichtian planktonic foraminifers from the Maud Rise (southern South Atlantic), Ocean Drilling Program Leg 113. In: P.F. Barker & J.P. Kennett (eds): Proc. ODP, Sci. Results 113, Ocean Drilling Program, College Station, Texas: 489–514
- Keller, G. & M., Lindinger 1989 Stable isotope, TOC, and CaCO₃ record across the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary at El Kef, Tunisia – Paleogeogr. Paleoclimatol. Paleoecol. 73: 243–265
- Keller, G., E. Barrera, B. Schmitz & E. Mattson 1993 Gradual mass extinction, species survivorship, and long-term environmental changes across the Cretaceous Tertiary boundary in high latitudes – Geol. Soc. Amer. Bull. 105: 979–997
- Keller, G., L. Li & N. MacLeod 1996 The Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary stratotype section at El Kef, Tunisia: How catastrophic was the mass-extinction? – Paleogeogr. Paleoclimatol. Paleoecol. 199: 221–254
- Kerr, R. 1994 Testing an Ancient Impact's punch Science 263: 1371–1372
- Kuhnt, W. (this issue) Early Danian benthic foraminiferal community structures, Geulhemmerberg, SE Netherlands
- Roep, T.B. & J. Smit (this issue) Sedimentological aspects of the K/T boundary at Geulhemmerberg, Zuid Limburg, the Netherlands
- Romein, A.J.T., H. Willems & H. Mai (this issue) Calcareous nannoplankton of the Geulhemmerberg K/T boundary section, Maastrichtian type area, the Netherlands
- Smit, J. 1977 Discovery of a planktonic foraminiferal association between the Abathomphalus mayaroensis zone and the 'Globigerina' eugubina zone at the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary in the Barranco del Gredero (Caravaca, SE Spain): a preliminary report – Proc. Kon. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. 80: 280–301
- Smit, J. 1982 Extinction and evolution of planktonic foraminifera after a major impact at the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary. In: L.T. Silver & P.H. Schultz (eds): Geological Implications of Impacts of Large Asteroids and Comets on the Earth. Geol. Soc. Amer. Spec. Paper 190: 329–352
- Smit, J. 1990 Meteorite impact, extinctions and the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary – Geol. Mijnbouw 69: 187–204
- Smit, J. & H. Brinkhuis (this issue) The Geulhemmerberg Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary section (Maastrichtian type area, SE Netherlands; summary of results and a scenario of events
- Smit, J. & R. Rocchia (this issue) Neutron activation analysis of trace elements in the Geulhemmerberg Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary section, SE Netherlands
- Vonhof, H.B. & J. Smit (this issue) Strontium isotope stratigraphy of the type Maastrichtian and the Cretaceous/Tertiary transition in the Maastricht area, SE Netherlands
- Willems, H. (this issue) Calcareous dinocysts from the Geulhemmerberg K/T boundary section (Limburg, SE Netherlands)
- Witte, L. & H. Schuurman (this issue) Calcareous benthic foraminifera across the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary in the Geulhemmerberg (SE Netherlands).